

'protecting and improving the quality of life for all Bayston Hill residents'

Clerk to the Council/RFO: Julie Hodgkiss

Chairman: Cllr C Clode

Minutes of a Planning Committee Meeting held at 6.30pm on Monday 8th January 2024 in Bayston Hill Memorial Hall.

Present: T Osenton (TO), A Price (AP), R Ruscoe (RR), P Stevens (PS), M

Underwood (MU) (Chair)

In

attendance: 3 MOP

The Clerk (JH) was unable to attend in person due to ill health and instead joined the meeting via Zoom.

P43.23/24 TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES AND REASONS FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Cllr Clode – holiday.

P44.23/24 DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

None

P45.23/24 PUBLIC SPEAKING AT COUNCIL MEETINGS

A member of the public spoke to object to 23/05287/FUL.

P46.23/24 MINUTES

RESOLVED: PS proposed to approve and sign off the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on Monday 11th December 2023, seconded by RR and agreed by all members present.

P47.23/24 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

23/05287/FUL | Erection of 2No. dwellings, associated garaging and access | Proposed Residential Development To The South Of Cross Lane Bayston Hill Shrewsbury Shropshire

RESOLVED: RR proposed to OBJECT to the application on the following grounds

- a) The size and character of the two properties is inappropriate for the size of the plot.
- b) Concerns over access, specifically for emergency vehicles, as the space is very narrow
- c) Traffic joining the A49 from Cross Lane is unsafe given the speed and volume of vehicles
- d) Inadequate space between the proposed properties with neighbour's boundary. We also note mention of a 5-year-old hedge which will be under threat.
- e) Developers should be aware that utility services are not available along the length of the lane

1	Planning Committee Minutes		
	Signed	(Chair)	(Date)

- f) A detailed construction plan would be needed and adhered to if this was granted to minimise disruption
- g) Full details of drainage plans should have been made available with the application and should be shown before any commencement of works In summary, the committee could not see why this application has been validated when it is hard to differentiate between this plan and the previous one 22/05649/FUL. Seconded by TO and agreed by all members present.

P48.23/24 PLANNING DECISIONS

None

P49.23/24 PLANNING APPEALS

None

Meeting closed at 7.05pm

Planning Committee Minutes		
Signed	(Chair)	(Date)