Community led plan meeting March 13th 2018

Present: Dave Preston, Jack Taylor, Teresa Lewis, Sarah RCC, Colin Lewis, Judy Shone.

David welcomed Sarah from Rcc on the meeting

The main item on the Agenda was discussion of the survey. And how we can progress it to the next stage.

1. The longer introduction would appear on the website with a note on the paper questionnaire.
2. The survey itself and the youth survey.

David asked for the parish council input

Teresa fed back it was minimal; The young persons survey was popular with the parish council but discussions had already been held re the costings of a youth worker in the village.

The sliding scale, should be explained each time as a reminder which is low and High.

Points raised in page order.
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* The web address to be inserted rather than just link and also a QR code .
* Teresa to go back to the Parish Council re the Prize Draw. Discussion took place re Anonymised entries and the prize draw.
* A box would be added inviting people to enter the prize draw.
* DP suggested a possible box to invite winners to donate their prize to Charity and still remain anonymous.
* JT asked about what is going on the web.
* Sarah explained it was different and more explanatory on the website, the survey is shorter.

**Section 1**

* The age groups were discussed and the rational between the small age groups DP explained it was to capture those in the village and those out of the village re Colleges/ University

**Section 2 Community**

* Insert a grid explaining the 1-6 scale
* JT asked about open questions, do they add a lot?
* 2.6 re how do you think people from elsewhere view the village, was that question relevant? That question was deleted

**Services and facilities**

* 2.8 the question would be tweaked.
* 2.10 . the sliding scale is operating again and needs to be made clear.

**Community and Youth**

* All the Questions were accepted. Teresa would ask the Parish Clerk for a figure.

**Health and Wellbeing**

* **Underline the main respondent completing the survey**
* It is unusual for a survey to include health and wellbeing,
* 3.13 to move under the heading.
* 3.7 appears to be the opposite to the other scales. But as it is the same scale as in the census, the committee was concerned that this may be confusing, so it should be highlighted.
* 3.8 is a duplication but we are aware of it
* The value of question 3.11 was discussed without any qualification as to why. The wording will changed
* 3.12 The first option how does that relate to the others; it has been shown to affect wellbeing if people feel they can influence.
* 3.14 define what we mean by access to Heath Care. ie bus services to hospital
* T.L.The second bullet point we don’t have any accessible open space within the village . and there is no where to have any. DP replied it was part of the meeting with the planning officer as to if any further development should open space be planned. Should be redefined as village boundary. JT do we need to say within the village should it be the boundary .
* The question would remove within the village.

**Planning and Development**

* TL suggested could we forward the questions to the planners who have been contacted previously. DP they do want to engage with us and seem keen to support . so the planning and development section to be forwarded. JT suggested to send the whole survey.
* JT suggested a definition of terms
* 4.8 worry about if change it to “when”.
* 4.11 the key message should be put in 4.11 to set the scene.
* CL suggested to move 4.8 below 4.11
* TL where do we get a sense from the money, what do we get from developers?
* TL it is important to start negotiations with the developer at the planning stage.

**Business and Economy**

* 5.1 requiring an extra column for those who are not in paid employment
* 5.5. should go to 5.7 if answer is no
* TL to find out stats re library.

**Environment**

* **6.2** scale to be added

**Transport**

* All covered

**Sustainability**

* The question re the parade was discussed, should community buy it?
* JT Should the wildlife go together? The rationale was explained.

TL observations on the youth Survey. Were presented to the meeting what activity would the youth opt into.

JT asked about the age group of the youth survey it was decided teenagers. 12 – 20

JT also asked about question 8 and 9 is it not the same question? It was .

The quote from the printers for £870 for the survey and £170 for an insert ie the youth survey.

For submission free post envelopes have been discounted.

No envelops just fold it and out it in the box .

The cover needs to be bright and identifies exactly what it is.

It was suggested ten day to two weeks to fill in the form and keep it open for a month.

The aim to be out after Easter.

Video for explaining why and what.

Meeting closed at 9.25pm